

# Implementing an Assessment Cycle...



---

Marilee J. Bresciani, Ph.D.  
Assistant Vice President for Institutional Assessment  
Texas A&M University  
[mbresciani@tamu.edu](mailto:mbresciani@tamu.edu)  
979-458-2913





# Presentation Overview

---

- Building on the Previous Presentations
- Overview of Evaluation Methods
- Overview of Closing the Loop
- Questions



# The Assessment Cycle (Bresciani, 2003)

---

- The key questions...
  - What are we trying to do and why? or
  - What is my program supposed to accomplish?
  - How well are we doing it?
  - How do we know?
  - How do we use the information to improve or celebrate successes?
  - Do the improvements we make work?

# The Iterative Systematic EBDM Cycle

Adapted from  
Peggy Maki, Ph.D. by  
Marilee J. Bresciani, Ph.D.



After you have articulated  
your outcomes...

---

Make sure You have a program  
that can actually deliver the  
outcome

e.g., planning



# Before Choosing an Assessment Method...

- Think about what meeting the outcome looks like
  - Be sure to describe the end result of the outcome by using active verbs
  - This helps articulate the criteria for identifying when the outcome has been met
- Describe how your program is delivering the outcome
  - There may be clues in the delivery of the outcome that help you determine how to evaluate it

# Before Choosing an Assessment Method, Cont.

- Think about collecting data
  - from different sources to make more meaningful and informed decisions for continuous improvement (*e.g., surveys, observations, self-assessment*) and for triangulation of data
  - that you believe will be useful in answering the important questions you have raised
  - that will appeal to your primary constituents or to those with whom you are trying to influence

# Measurement Methods

(Palomba and Banta, 1999)

- Evidence of learning- basically two types
  - Direct-methods of collecting information that require the students to display their knowledge and skills
  - Indirect- methods that ask students or some one else to reflect on the student learning rather than to demonstrate it

# Another Way to Look at It (Ewell, 2003)

- There are **naturally occurring assessment techniques** (e.g. project-embedded assessment methods such as essays, observed behavior, student interactions, student debates)
- There are those **designed** as a means to evaluate (e.g., surveys)

# Some Methods That Provide Direct Evidence



- Student work samples
- Collections of student work (e.g. Portfolios)
- Capstone projects
- Project-embedded assessment
- Course-embedded assessment
- Observations of student behavior
- Internal juried review of student projects
- External evaluations of student performance
- Document analysis (e.g., meeting minutes, policies, handbooks)

Bresciani, M.J.



# Direct Evidence Cont.

from Peggy Maki, Ph.D.

- External juried review of student projects
- Externally reviewed internship
- Performance on a case study/problem
- Performance on problem and analysis (Student explains how he or she solved a problem)
- Performance on national licensure examinations
- Locally developed tests
- Standardized tests
- Pre-and post-tests
- Essay tests blind scored across units

Bresciani, M.J.



# Some Methods That Provide Indirect Evidence

adapted from Peggy Maki, Ph.D.

- Alumni, Employer, Student Surveys
- Focus groups (depending on the interview protocol, this could be used as direct evidence)
- Exit Interviews with Graduates
- Graduate Follow-up Studies
- Percentage of students who go on to graduate school
- Retention and Transfer Studies
- Job Placement Statistics

Bresciani, M.J.





# Indirect Evidence Cont.

---

- Faculty/Student ratios
- Percentage of students who study abroad
- Enrollment trends
- Percentage of students who graduate within five-six years
- Diversity of student body



# Choosing A Tool

---

- It is important to choose tools based on what you are trying to assess, not on what tool is most appealing to you
- Consider what will influence your constituents
- Consider what will provide you with information to make decisions
- Be able to justify your choice of tool and method



# Things to Consider When Choosing an Instrument

- What outcome(s) are you measuring?
- What criteria will determine if the outcome is met?
- Who is being assessed? How often do I have access to them? Do I know who they are?
- What is my budget?
- What is my timeline?
- What type of data is most meaningful to me: direct/indirect and qualitative/quantitative



# Things to Consider, Cont.

---

- Who will analyze the data and how?
- Who needs to see this data?
- How easily can I fit this method into my regular responsibilities? (every day, week, semester, year)
- Who needs to make decisions with this data?
- How will I document the evidence and the decisions made from that evidence?



# Example Outcomes Revisited

---

- Students will be able to articulate the steps of ethical decision making
- Students will be able to identify the challenges to making ethical choices (via case studies)
- Students will be able to evaluate their own choices and identify where they excelled in their own ethical decision making (via journals)



# Possible Assessment Tools

---

- Quiz
- Essay
- Journal
- Case Study
- Observation
- Peer Evaluation with criteria or rubric
- Professional Evaluation with criteria or rubric

# Questions to Ask About Choosing a Measurement Tool

- How is this outcome delivered/implemented?
- What is my budget?
- What is my timeline?
- What are my analysis capabilities?
- Who needs to see this data?
- How easily can I fit this method into my annual responsibilities?
- Who needs to make decisions with this data?
- Will this kind of evidence help me make the decisions I need to make?
- How will I document the evidence and the decisions made from that evidence?



Choose an Outcome and

---

Work through this Process





# Re-Casting Services

---

- In some cases, you may need to re-cast your services so that you can provide that which delivers the end result or provides the opportunities to assess student development and learning.
- Or you may just need to sit down and articulate the criteria that describes that which you want the student to demonstrate (i.e. What does problem solving look like?)



# Closing the Assessment Loop

---

- Briefly report methodology for each outcome
- Document where the students are meeting the intended outcome
- Document where they are not meeting the outcome
- Document decisions made to improve the program and assessment plan
- Refine assessment method and repeat process after proper time for implementation

# Other Items to Note and Report

- Unexpected/Unintended outcomes
- New data collection methods, including different times, student groupings (e.g., various ways you may separate the cohorts or groups of students to gather more meaningful data), and different methods
- Recommendations for other programs

# Other Items to Note and Report, Cont.

- Recommendations to repeat the assessment process prior to making any decisions
- Maintaining status quo
- Be sure to note the time when you will go back and re-assess



# Reporting Strategies

from Gary Hanson, Ph.D.

---

- Know your data
- Know your audience
- Tell the story
  - Identify meaningful indicators to shape the story
  - Examine indicators for patterns
- Begin with the end in mind
- Involve the end users in the process



# Reporting Strategies

---

- Identify the values of your constituents and find out how your constituents prefer to see data and reports.
- Students (or those whom you evaluated) can be extremely helpful in your writing and dissemination of results and decisions made.
- Be sure to link the data and decisions made to the outcome and the program being assessed (Maki, 2001).



# Reporting Strategies, Cont.

---

- Timing is everything when delivering results and decisions.
- Prepare to defend your outcome, your evaluation method, your results, and the decisions made based on those results.
- If you need help interpreting the data, get it.

# What to Do with Your Mounds of Data?

- After you have articulated your outcomes, you can sift through the mounds of data more readily to determine what information can help you.
- Don't be surprised if you don't find any pre-existing data that will help you determine the effectiveness of your program.

# What to Do with Your Mounds of Data?, Cont.

- Most benchmark instruments help you identify where you are doing well and where you may have problems.
- That helps you prioritize your assessment work, but it may not help you with decisions for improving your program or for informing policy.



# What to Do with Bad Data?

---

- Report that you have inconclusive data.
- In your recommendations section, explain what you think may have gone wrong and what you will change next time.
- Be sure to include recommendations for refining outcomes, evaluation methods, criteria, and data analysis and interpretation methods.
- Can you make any recommendations for the program even though you have “bad” data?



# Resources

---

- Your own website –  
<http://assessment.udel.edu>
- Each Other
- University Planning and Analysis (UPA) Assessment website
  - <http://www2.acs.ncsu.edu/UPA/assmt/>
- <http://assessment.tamu.edu>



# Questions?

---





# One Minute Evaluation

---

- What is the most valuable lesson that you learned from this workshop?
- What is one question that you still have?
- What do you think is the next step that your department/program needs to take in order to implement systematic program assessment?

The Sixth Annual



**E x a s A & M**  
**A s s e s s m e n t**  
**C o n f e r e n c e :**

***Putting Assessment to Work!***

**February 23- 25, 2006**

**At the Hilton of College Station**

**Featured Speakers**

**Mr. Ralph Wolff**

Executive Director for the Western Association of  
Schools and Colleges

**Dr. Marcia Mentkowski**

Professor of Psychology and  
Director of Educational Research  
and Evaluation at Alverno College

**Dr. Gary Hanson**

Research and Policy Analyst for the  
Office of Institutional Studies and  
Policy Analysis at  
The University of Texas System

**Dr. Marilee Bresciani**

Visiting Associate Professor  
and Assistant Vice President  
for Institutional Assessment

**For more information and Registration**

**<http://assessment.tamu.edu/conference.html> or [assessment@tamu.edu](mailto:assessment@tamu.edu)**

# References

- Bresciani, M.J. (September, 2002). *The relationship between outcomes, measurement, and decisions for continuous improvement.* National Association for Student Personnel Administrators, Inc NetResults E-Zine.  
<http://www.naspa.org/netresults/index.cfm>
- Bresciani, M.J., Zelna, C.L., and Anderson, J.A. (2004). *Techniques for Assessing Student Learning and Development in Academic and Student Support Services.* Washington D.C.:NASPA.
- Ewell, P. T. (2003). *Specific Roles of Assessment within this Larger Vision.* Presentation given at the Assessment Institute at IUPUI. Indiana University-Purdue University- Indianapolis.
- Maki, P. (2001). *Program review assessment.* Presentation to the Committee on Undergraduate Academic Review at NC State University.

# References, Cont.

- NC State University, Undergraduate Academic Program Review. (2001) Common Language for Assessment. Taken from the World Wide Web September 13, 2003:  
[http://www.ncsu.edu/provost/academic\\_programs/uapr/process/language.html](http://www.ncsu.edu/provost/academic_programs/uapr/process/language.html)
- Palomba, C.A. and Banta, T.W. (1999). Assessment essentials: Planning, implementing and improving assessment in Higher Education. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- University of Victoria, Counseling Services. (2003) Learning Skills Program: Blooms Taxonomy. Taken from the World Wide Web September 13, 2003:  
<http://www.Coun.uvic.ca/learn/program/hndouts/loom.html>