College of Education
Interwork
Institute

Interwork Institute
San Diego State University
6367 Alvarado Court, Suite 350
San Diego CA 92120

VOICE: 619.594.2462
FAX: 619.594.4208
http://interwork.sdsu.edu/

Strengthening New Counselor Training and Ongoing Professional Development in Vocational Rehabilitation

National Rehabilitation Leadership Institute (NRLI)

Cohort R – Group 3

Alice Crowder, M.S.
Stacy Evans, M.Ed
Amy Jackson
Luis Mendoza, MBA
Dr. Jennifer Jackson

Executive Summary

Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) counselors are the backbone of service delivery for individuals with disabilities seeking competitive integrated employment. They coordinate complex services, interpret and apply Federal and state regulations, and translate consumer goals into concrete, achievable employment outcomes. Despite this, the decline in accredited rehabilitation counseling programs, inconsistent onboarding practices, and the relaxation of hiring standards have led to wide variations in VR counselor preparation across the nation. Many policymakers and partners outside of VR do not fully understand the breadth of counselor responsibilities, the technical expertise required, or the functional limitations and needs of the individuals who seek services.

To ensure consistent, high-quality service delivery, this paper proposes that the Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA), in collaboration with the Council of State Administrators of Vocational Rehabilitation (CSAVR) and the Vocational Rehabilitation Technical Assistance Center (VRTAC), establish a national academy-style framework for new counselor training and ongoing professional development. This standardized framework, aligned with 34 C.F.R. § 361.18, would include structured onboarding, supervised practice, competency verification, mentorship integration, and ongoing continuing education tied to CRC or equivalent credentials. A national approach would close critical skill

gaps, support retention, and stabilize the workforce that is essential to the success of the public VR system.

Impact

Current regulations allow each state VR program to determine educational levels and acceptable academic degrees, many of which are not in Vocational Rehabilitation Counseling. Without universal core training standards that cover onboarding, mentorship, and ongoing professional development, service quality, compliance, and employment outcomes for individuals with disabilities are inconsistent and often compromised.

The current VR workforce is strained by uneven preparation, high turnover, and growing job complexity. Over the last decade, the number of university-based rehabilitation counseling programs has declined sharply, and many states have reduced educational requirements for VR counselor positions. As of 2022, 34 of 78 state VR agencies require only a bachelor's degree, and only four require a Certified Rehabilitation Counselor (CRC) credential. While these changes helped fill vacancies, they also created skill gaps in critical areas such as employer engagement, benefits planning, trauma-informed counseling, and data documentation. Counselors without formal rehabilitation training often enter the field with a limited understanding of Federal regulations, case management systems, and disability specific strategies. This results in inconsistent service quality, compliance errors, and burnout among staff who are simultaneously learning and serving caseloads.

In addition, WIOA's expansion of responsibilities, including the requirement that 15% of Federal VR funding be allocated for pre-employment transition services (Pre-ETS), has increased counselor workload without adequate training or compensation adjustments. High turnover rates, long onboarding timelines, and insufficient mentoring further exacerbate the issue, leading to reduced morale and weakened client outcomes nationwide.

Beyond regulatory complexity, the needs of VR consumers are increasingly multifaceted. Many individuals present with intersecting disabilities, co-occurring mental health conditions, layered trauma histories, and significant economic and environmental barriers. Counselors must navigate functional limitations, cultural and linguistic factors, and the impact of systemic inequities while still meeting performance targets and documentation requirements. Without a clearly defined set of core competencies that prepares staff to address this level of complexity, VR programs struggle to deliver services that are person-centered, trauma-informed, and effective.

Previous NRLI cohorts have identified that insufficient onboarding and inconsistent training are primary drivers of low retention. When counselors lack clarity in job expectations, mentorship support, and structured learning opportunities, they are less likely to remain in the profession long-term. Moreover, the loss of institutional knowledge perpetuates a cycle of inefficiency and service disruption that directly impacts consumers with disabilities.

Background

VR counselors must master a diverse set of competencies spanning Federal regulations, eligibility determination, individualized plan development, assistive technology, benefits analysis, and employer

partnership cultivation. They interpret medical and psychological information, coordinate with schools and community partners, negotiate with employers, and counsel individuals who may be navigating grief, identity changes, or long-term unemployment related to disability. At the same time, they must document services with accuracy and timeliness in complex case management systems.

Despite this high level of responsibility, the field increasingly relies on candidates without formal rehabilitation counseling backgrounds. Many new hires receive only informal, district-level orientation with little supervision or standardized evaluation of competency before being assigned full caseloads. As a result, new staff experience steep learning curves, and existing counselors bear the additional burden of peer training, compounding stress and turnover.

According to national workforce data, the average VR hiring process exceeds 78 days, with turnover rates approaching 26% in 2022. Each departure carries high fiscal and productivity costs, as agencies must recruit, train, and reintegrate new employees, often without a structured training pipeline.

The complexity of VR practice mirrors the complexity of the consumers it serves. Individuals may require coordination of multiple services such as assistive technology, postsecondary education supports, benefits counseling, transportation solutions, and employer engagement. Counselors must understand how disability, trauma, culture, socioeconomic status, and local labor market conditions intersect to influence each case. This level of complexity reinforces the need for clearly articulated core competencies and a standardized training pathway so that every counselor, regardless of entry degree, has the skills to meet consumer needs.

Proposed Changes

To close the skill and training gaps, the following policy and practice reforms are recommended:

1. **Establish a national VR training academy.**

   RSA should issue sub-regulatory guidance encouraging all state VR agencies to implement a standardized, academy-style training framework for new counselors onboarding and ongoing professional development, tied to the Comprehensive System of Personnel Development (CSPD) requirements in 34 C.F.R. § 361.18. This framework, developed in partnership with CSAVR, would provide a national baseline for competency, consistency, and compliance across states while still allowing states to retain flexibility in how they operationalize the model.

   The national framework would articulate the essential content areas, expected competencies, and minimum standards for supervised practice. States would have discretion to adapt delivery formats, integrate existing training programs, and sequence modules in a way that aligns with their organizational structure and collective bargaining requirements. States that already operate robust academies or institutes could map their curricula to the national standards rather than replace what is working. This approach would respect state-level autonomy while ensuring that all counselors, regardless of where they are hired, meet an agreed-upon benchmark for readiness.

This framework should include the following:

- **Structured Curriculum:** Covering eligibility, individualized planning, documentation, employer engagement, ethics, and disability-specific competencies.
- **Supervised Practice and Staged Caseload Ramp-Up:** Ensuring counselors can apply knowledge under supervision before assuming full caseloads.
- **Competency Verification:** Certification checks within the first year of practice (CRCC, n.d.).
- **Annual Professional Development Requirements:** Aligned to the Certified Rehabilitation Counselor (CRC) or comparable credentials (RSA, 2023).
- **Standardized Data and Reporting Integration:** Linking counselor training and performance outcomes to WIOA accountability metrics while also incorporating more immediate, counselor-level indicators such as documentation quality, timeliness of key milestones, consumer satisfaction, and fidelity to required processes.

As an alternative or complementary strategy, several states could combine funding and resources to create a regional, comprehensive training consortium. Pooling resources would reduce duplication, expand access to expert faculty, and support academy-style onboarding and PD models that smaller states might not sustain independently. Such a model could serve as a pilot for national adoption, ensuring all states—regardless of size or resources—can provide consistent, high-quality counselor training.

2. **Integrate mentorship and peer support systems.**

   Mentorship should be a core requirement of the onboarding process. According to a Gallup survey, employees with positive onboarding experiences are 2.6 times more likely to feel satisfied and 70% more likely to stay for three years or more following a positive onboarding experience. Structured mentor-matching and onboarding buddy programs build confidence, reinforce institutional culture, and provide emotional support that reduces early attrition.

3. **Develop regional training collaboratives.**

   States can form regional academies that follow national standards but allow flexibility for state-specific regulations and population needs. Regional training hubs would promote cross-state collaboration, peer learning, and resource efficiency.

4. **Engage future workforce pipelines.**

   VR agencies should partner with undergraduate programs, Pre-ETS initiatives, and community colleges to introduce the field of VR early. Early exposure through guest lectures, internships, and apprenticeships can cultivate interest in VR careers and improve diversity among future applicants.

Impact

Implementing a standardized, academy-based national training framework will yield measurable benefits across multiple dimensions of the VR system. Structured training and mentorship will improve

counselor retention and morale by reducing burnout and enhancing professional confidence. Competency verification and ongoing education will strengthen compliance with Federal regulations and ensure consistency in documentation and service delivery. Standardized training will promote service equity, ensuring that all consumers, regardless of location, receive consistent, high-quality services.

In turn, reduced turnover and improved efficiency will decrease recruitment and training costs, while better-prepared counselors will strengthen partnerships with employers and foster more inclusive hiring practices. By combining WIOA performance indicators with more targeted counselor-level measures, agencies will be able to monitor both system outcomes and individual performance in a fair and balanced way.

However, relying on academic credentials alone leaves new counselors underprepared for the realities of VR practice. Graduate education provides theoretical foundations but rarely includes the applied, field-based competencies needed for success in VR. Critical skills such as employer engagement, benefits counseling, and trauma-informed service delivery are often missing from traditional academic preparation. The absence of standardized onboarding and ongoing professional development contributes to inconsistent service quality, counselor turnover, and inequitable client outcomes. Without intervention, VR agencies will continue to experience fragmented service delivery, weakened public trust, and diminished employment outcomes for individuals with disabilities.

VR programs are inherently complex, requiring mastery of Federal regulations, case management systems, individualized planning, financial needs analysis, and employer collaboration strategies. Yet, new counselors frequently face steep learning curves, with many relying solely on informal mentoring or local, unstructured training. Research shows that insufficient training in human services leads to higher attrition and reduced job performance. Barriers, such as inconsistent onboarding processes, lack of standardized training materials, and insufficient opportunities for continuing education, exacerbate this problem.

While many counselors hold advanced degrees, the field must address the gap between academic preparation and practical competence. Academic programs alone cannot provide the hands-on exposure to eligibility determination, documentation, assistive technology, or job placement strategies that are essential to effective VR service delivery. Without implementing structured onboarding, supervised practice, and ongoing competency development, counselor performance and compliance will continue to vary across states and districts, jeopardizing program integrity and equitable access to services nationwide.

Conclusion

The effectiveness of the national VR program rests on the preparedness, stability, and professional competence of its counselor workforce. Academic credentials alone no longer ensure that counselors possess the applied knowledge, regulatory understanding, and adaptive skills required in today's complex rehabilitation environment. Without standardized onboarding, structured mentorship, and continuous skill development, the field will continue to face turnover, inconsistencies in service delivery, and weakened employment outcomes for individuals with disabilities.

Establishing a national, academy-style training framework, supported by RSA and CSAVR, would create a consistent national standard for excellence in counselor preparation and professional development. This initiative would align onboarding and continuing education with the CSPD requirements outlined in 34 C.F.R. § 361.18, ensuring that every VR counselor, regardless of their entry path, meets uniform competency benchmarks tied to national performance standards.

Such a framework would also strengthen data-driven decision-making across the VR system by linking counselor training and competency outcomes directly to WIOA performance indicators. With a shared curriculum, defined competencies, and measurable outcomes, VR agencies could more accurately evaluate workforce readiness, identify emerging training needs, and justify strategic investments in staff development.

Beyond compliance and consistency, this transformation represents a cultural shift in how the VR system views its workforce—moving from reliance on academic credentials and ad hoc mentoring toward a professionalized, nationally supported career pathway. It would elevate the field's reputation, attract diverse and highly qualified applicants, and restore the sense of purpose and pride central to the VR mission.

Ultimately, by implementing this unified, academy-based training framework, the VR system can achieve workforce stability, strengthen accountability, and ensure the highest quality of service delivery. This structured, sustainable approach will preserve the integrity and future strength of the public VR system while fulfilling its fundamental promise: to help individuals with disabilities achieve competitive, integrated employment and greater independence through excellence in counseling and rehabilitation practice.

References

Beveridge, S., McDonnall, M. C., & Zhou, L. (2018). Factors associated with job satisfaction among vocational rehabilitation counselors. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin, 61*(4), 195–205. https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355217727602

Commission on Rehabilitation Counselor Certification. (n.d.). *Certification requirements and competency domains*. Retrieved from https://crcccertification.com

Council of State Administrators of Vocational Rehabilitation (CSAVR). (2023). *Human resources personnel network report*. Washington, DC: Author.

Devlin Peck. (2024). *Employee onboarding statistics: Top trends & insights*.

Forbes Human Resources Council. (2023, October 6). *Six benefits of mentoring in the workplace*. *Forbes*.

National Rehabilitation Leadership Institute (NRLI). (2024). *Closing the gap: Addressing training and support needs for incoming VR field staff (Cohort Q – Group 7)*. San Diego, CA: Interwork Institute, San Diego State University.

National Rehabilitation Leadership Institute (NRLI). (2024). *Overcoming workforce challenges in vocational rehabilitation programs: Exploring recruitment and retention strategies (Cohort O – Group A)*. San Diego, CA: Interwork Institute, San Diego State University.

Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA). (2023). *Workforce development and professional competency standards in state vocational rehabilitation programs*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

Villatoro, A. P., Kivlighan, D. M., & Torres Stone, R. A. (2024). Counselor preparedness and multicultural competence in vocational rehabilitation. *Journal of Rehabilitation, 90*(1), 45–57.